Lichfield District Council Lichfield District Local Plan Allocations DPD

Schedule of proposed modifications

March 2018

Key to schedule of Modifications:

Text to be deleted – strikethrough

Text to be added – bold

Schedule of Modifications

Text to remain unmodified – plain text

Explanatory text for modification – italics

Modi	Source of	Page	Proposed change	Reason
ficati	Modification	number		
on				
Num				
ber				
Sectio	on 4: Homes fo	r the Futu	Ire	
M1	LDC	15	<i>Correction of typographical error to second sentence of paragraph 4.3 as follows:</i>	To correct typographical error.
			This is illustrated through the Hosing Housing Trajectory at Appendix D.	
M2	LDC	18	Correction of typographical error to first sentence of policy EMP1 and replace a full stop with a comma as follows:	To correct typographical error.
			will contribute to the delivery of 79.1 hectares of employment land (Use classes B1 . , B2, B8) drawn from the employment portfolio.	
Sectio	on 7: Built & Hi	storic Env	ironment	
M3	LDC & Ruben	24	Propose minor modification to the text of the final paragraph of Policy	Too add clarity to the policy and to
	Bellamy (Rep		BE2: Heritage Assets as follows:	reflect the NPPF.
	FC102),			
	Gladman		The loss of, or harm to, a heritage asset will only be permitted where it	
	(FC133), JVH		can be demonstrated that the ensuing harm and loss of significance of the	

Modi ficati on Num ber	Source of Modification	Page number	Proposed change	Reason
	Planning (FC144, FC151, FC161, FC164, FC169, FC175, FC176, FC176)		heritage asset is necessary to achieve substantial public benefits that outweigh that harm or loss in accordance with the NPPF.	
M4	Historic England (Rep FC257) & LDC	24	 Propose minor modification to the final sentence of Policy BE2: Heritage Assets as follows: In this case the areas affected should be recorded and the information submitted to the Staffordshire County Council's Historic Environment Record as a minimum. 	Too accord with the NPPF.
M5	LDC	24	Correction of grammatical error to second sentence of paragraph 7.1 as follows: registered parks and gardens and conservation s areas.	To correct grammatical error.
M6	LDC	24	Correction of grammatical error to fourth sentence of paragraph 7.2 as follows: It may be beneficial to obtain the advice of a suitably qualified professional to put together the assessment of significance, the assessment of the impact of the development on that the significance, the design of the proposals and relevant documentation.	To correct grammatical error.

Modi ficati on Num ber	Source of Modification	Page number	Proposed change	Reason
	on 8: Lichfield	,		-
M7	LDC	26	Remove web address from third paragraph of Policy Lichfield 3: Lichfield Economy.	To remove text which has been incorrectly incorporated within the text of the policy.
M8	Historic England (Rep FC257) & LDC	31, 33, 34, 37, 48	Correction of terminology used for scheduled monuments within the 'Key development considerations' for Sites L1, L4, L6, L9 and L29: Design and scale of redevelopment must be considered in the context of the buildings location within the conservation area and proximity to heritage assets including scheduled ancient monument(s)	To use the correct terminology as suggested by Historic England.
M9	LDC & Rep FC126	49	Change typology of floorspace within the site information for Site L30 from B1a to B1 to reflect the permitted scheme as secured through planning permission.	For consistency with permitted scheme for the site.
			Site Area (Ha) 4.4	
			Floorspace (m ²) 12,500 (B1)	
Sectio	on 9: Burntwoo	od		
M10	LDC	54	Correction to paragraph 9.8 to correctly total the approximate dwelling capacity of the proposed allocations within Policy B1 and Policy B2.	To correctly total the allocations within Policy B1 and Policy B2. As drafted this is currently incorrect.
			As illustrated by table 4.1 sufficient allocations have been identified to meet the housing requirements for Burntwood. These allocations provide for approximately 728 383 dwellings (including a number of already	

Modi ficati on Num ber	Source of Modification	Page number	Proposed change committed sites) within and adjacent to Burntwood to ensure that the	Reason
			requirements as set out within the Local Plan Strategy are met.	
Polici	es Map			
M11	Lichfield & Hatherton Canal Restoration Trust (Rep 209-210)	Lichfield District Policies Map, Inset 1: Lichfield City	Line illustrating the safeguarded route of the Lichfield Canal does not correctly show at inset map scale. Route requires minor change to ensure the route is drawn around an electricity pylon to the south of the A38. Updated maps included at Appendix A of this schedule.	To correct route to that agreed between LDC and the canal restoration trust. Correction is minor and does not relate to any area where the change would impact upon development, other consultation responses or any other designation or allocation. It should be noted that this change can only viewed at a localised map i.e. not the Proposals map. This change is not considered to materially impact the Plan.
M12	LDC	Lichfield District Policies Map, Inset 7 Colton, Inset 10 Elford, Inset 12 Fradley,	Replace Conservation Area boundaries (Colton, Elford, Fradley Junction, Hamstall Ridware, Harlaston, Haunton, Hints, and Mavesyn Ridware) with correct adopted Conservation Area boundaries. Change is factual to include the adopted Conservation Area boundaries for the identified settlements (Colton, Elford, Fradley junction, Hamstall Ridware, Harlaston, Hints, and Mavesyn Ridware). Conservation Area legislation is covered elsewhere and the policies maps are an expression of this legislation.	Incorrect Conservation Area boundaries have been shown for the settlements noted on the Policies Maps and respective insets. At the time of drafting proposed alterations to Conservation Area boundaries were being considered by the District Council. These boundaries were identified on the Council's

Modi	Source of	Page	Proposed change	Reason
ficati	Modification	number		
on				
Num				
ber				
		Inset 13 Hamstal I Ridware , Inset 14 Harlasto n	Updated maps included at Appendix A of this schedule.	base map and were pulled through when the Policies maps were developed. However because changes were not adopted it is recommended that the policies maps should show the currently adopted Conservation Area boundaries. As with recommended change M11 the change is factual and does not materially alter the Plan.

Appendix A – Schedule of Modifications:



LICHFIELD DISTRICT LOCAL **Key PLAN POLICIES MAP**









All inset lies within the Cannock Chase









INSET 12 FRADLEY

All inset lies within the Cannock Chase SAC policy area (Policy NR7)

Key







Village settlement boundaries

HS2 Route

Employment land allocations (Core Policies 1,7, Policy EMP1)

Strategic development allocations (Core policies 1,4,6, Policy Frad4)

Housing land allocations (Policy F1)

Existing employment areas (Core Policies 1,7, Policy EMP1)

Gypsy & traveller site allocation (Policies H3, GT1)

Road and junction improvements (Policy ST5)

Conservation areas (Core Policies 1, 14, Policy BE1)

Central Rivers Initiative (Core Policies 1,9,13, Policies NR5,NR6)

Trees & Woodlands (Ancient Woodland) (Core policy 13, Policies SC2,HSC1,NR4)





/////



