## Timetable for information requested by PINS

| Question   | Anticipated timescales   |
|--|--|
| Inspectors' request 1  | See reply statement  |
| a) What is the Council's intention regarding replacement/superseding of policies<br>existing plans? If saving is proposed, what is the Council's view on whether it<br>possible to save a policy only in part and where is the authority for this?               |  |
| b) In the light of our comments above in relation to saved policies does the Coun<br>consider that the Local Plan has been prepared in accordance with the LDS?  | LDS updated within next 3 months<br>subject to staff resources |
| c) Has the Schedule of Proposed Modifications [SD1-3] been subject to anypubl<br>consultation? If so, what were the dates of consultation?   | liC No – see reply statement                                   |
| Inspectors' request 2  | See reply statement  |
| a) What were the main mechanisms that were used to engage constructively, ac<br>and on an ongoing basis with the relevant local planning authorities and other<br>prescribed bodies to address the strategic matters during the preparation of th<br>Local Plan? |  |
| b) have any local planning authorities or other prescribed bodies made represen<br>under Regulation 20, or subsequently in discussions about the Duty and<br>development of Statements of Common Ground, that claim it has not been co<br>with?                  |  |
| c) What, if any, outstanding strategic matters are subject to ongoing discussions<br>any local planning authorities or other prescribed bodiesand what is the latest<br>position with regard to those?   |  |
| <ul> <li>A number of Statements of Common Ground have been provided in draft orwing<br/>officer agreement only, while others are awaited. Have signed Statements of</li> </ul>   | ith See reply statement  |

|    | Common Ground now been secured between the Council and all relevant parties?   |   |
|----|--|---|
| e) | Are there any significant concerns expressed by interested parties regarding the Duty which remain a matter of dispute?  | See reply Statement   |
| f) | SD1-8a makes repeated reference to the development and agreement of strategies<br>and plans in relation to matters such as the A5, the Cannock Chase Area of<br>Outstanding Natural Beauty, the Cannock Chase and RiverMease Special Areas of<br>Conservation (SAC). What progress has been made on these strategies and<br>plans? | The A5 strategy, Cannock Chase<br>AoNB Business plan, Cannock<br>Chase and River Mease MoUs and<br>the latest financial agreements wil<br>be provided to the programme<br>officer immediately. In respect of<br>the River Mease SAC, the work to<br>prepare an updated financial<br>agreement (DCS3) or a nutrient<br>neutrality calculator is being<br>prepared. Consultants are<br>anticipated to report back in<br>November 2022 with<br>recommendations on approach<br>which will inform the mitigation<br>plan, the timing of which is still to<br>be determined. Separately a pump<br>out solution removing significant<br>phosphate from the Mease is<br>planned for 2027. |
| g) | SD1-8a refers to various memoranda of understanding and supporting documents with regard to cross-boundary strategic matters. What status dothese documents have and should they be provided to the Examination?   | See reply statement. The relevant<br>documents are to be submitted to<br>the programme officer<br>immediately   |

| h) | Please address matters a-g as part of a single further Duty to Co-operatestatement  | The updated DtC Statement will be<br>provided to the Programme Officer<br>immediately  |
|----|---|--|
| a) | Inspectors' request 3<br>Is there an SA non-technical summary in line with The EnvironmentalAssessment of<br>Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 (the SEA Regulations)?   | See reply statement. The non-<br>technical summary has been<br>prepared and will be submitted to<br>the Programme Officer<br>immediately.  |
| b) | Can Appendix F – Matrix of Submission Plan Policies be amended to showthe SA objectives and questions on each page so that it is possible to readthe results for each policy against the SA objectives and questions?     | See reply statement. A revised<br>document will be provided by the<br>consultant. It is estimated that this<br>should be possible by the end of<br>2022.   |
| c) | Has the SA appropriately addressed employment land? In the absence of proposed employment allocations, as discussed below, is it possible to establish any likely significant effects in the short, medium and long-term? | See reply statement. An update to<br>the SA to address an error in<br>Appendix E to the SA and to<br>provide a narrative is being<br>addressed by the consultant in<br>conjunction with the Council. It is<br>anticipated these changes can be<br>addressed by the end of 2022<br>subject to LDC staff resources<br>being available to comment on the<br>consultant's work |
| d) | In the absence of strategic transport modelling, as discussed below, has the SA been able to appropriately account for any likely significant effects inrelation to transport?  | See reply statement. A traffic<br>modelling study has been<br>undertaken by the consultants but<br>further more detailed work is now<br>being undertaken in respect of<br>specific junctions and will be<br>submitted to the EIP when<br>completed. The mitigation actions<br>to addressing the modelling  |

|    |   | findings will then need to be<br>subject to SA. It is estimated that<br>this further work will require a<br>further 6 to 9 months  |
|----|---|--|
| a) | Inspectors' request 4<br>With particular regard to the need to predict likely levels of nitrogen deposition<br>resulting from increased airborne concentration of nitrogen oxides and ammonia,<br>has any transport modelling and air quality analysis been completed which would<br>feed into the HRA process? When is this likely to be carried out and completed?<br>Does any work on air quality involveassessment in-combination with plans and<br>projects in neighbouring authorities? | See reply statement. It is estimated<br>that work will be completed during<br>the next 9 – 12 months   |
| b) | What does the updated Memorandum of Understanding for the Cannock Chase SAC Partnership cover? What progress has been made in respectof financial arrangements for mitigation of visitor impact on the Cannock Chase SAC?   | See reply statement. The relevant<br>documents will be submitted to<br>the programme officer<br>immediately.   |
| c) | What progress has been made in mitigating water quality issues within theriver catchment of the River Mease SAC, with particular regard to phosphate levels?  | See reply statement and reply to 2f above.   |
| d) | Given the aforementioned questions and the time that has elapsed between the production of the HRA and submission of the Local Plan, doesthe HRA require updating?  | Yes. It is suggested that resolution<br>will be within the next 9 to 12<br>months  |
| e) | In light of the acknowledgement of further work being necessary in paragraphs 16<br>and 19 of the Statement of Common Ground [SD5-5] pleaseset out what the timeline<br>for this work is and how progress will be evidenced (for example, through updated<br>Statements of Common Ground)?  | Both LDC and Natural England have<br>agreed to work together on this<br>issue and to update the SoCG in<br>the run up to the hearings part of<br>the EIP. It is anticipated that having<br>regard to the work being done by<br>the Cannock Chase SAC and Mease<br>partnerships this could be within<br>the next 6 to 9 months subject to |

|    |  | staff recruitment at LDC being successful to work with NE.  |
|----|--|---|
| a) | Inspectors' request 5<br>Provide a detailed calculation of LHN at 1 April 2022, along with an explanation of<br>how it has been calculated against the methodology set outin the Planning Practice<br>Guidance (PPG). What is the reason for the LocalPlan adopting the figure at April<br>2020, rather than 2022? | See reply statement. The<br>document will be submitted to the<br>Programme Officer immediately.   |
| b) | Given its age, how can the HEDNA be relied upon as up to date evidence?What steps have been taken to keep the assessment under review and to consider revising, where appropriate?   | LDC will ask the consultants to<br>provide an update of the HEDNA<br>for the examination.   |
| c) | Provide a topic paper on site selection that covers the issues set out inparagraphs 14 to 18 of this letter  | It is anticipated that due to the<br>need for LDC to recruit to<br>vacancies, it will be prepared<br>during the next 6 to 9 months  |
| d) | Supply any further supporting information/technical work available relatingto the suitability, availability and deliverability of the proposed strategic allocations, for example, draft Masterplans, technical reports and other evidence   | See statement. It is anticipated<br>that further work may need to take<br>6 to 9 months due to the need to<br>recruit to vacancies at LDC.  |
| e) | What is the anticipated housing requirement resulting from the Local Planfor each designated neighbourhood planning area?  | See reply to statement. The work<br>can be undertaken quite quickly<br>but would be subject to staff<br>resource being available to do the<br>work which it is estimated could<br>take up to 6 to 9 months to<br>complete |
| f) | Would at least 10% of the housing requirement be accommodated on sitesno larger than one hectare? If so, how would that be achieved?   | See reply to statement. The work<br>can be undertaken quite quickly<br>but would be subject to staff<br>resource being available to do the  |

|    |   | work which it is estimated could<br>take up to 6 to 9 months to<br>complete.   |
|----|---|--|
| g) | Provide a table to show the net completed dwellings for each of the settlements set<br>out in Table 7 of the Local Plan arising from data on annualcompletions for periods<br>after April 2020. When is the publication of the nextAuthority Monitoring Report<br>anticipated?                                      | This information related to Table 7<br>is available for April 2021 and April<br>2022 data is anticipated to be<br>available by the end of September<br>2022. |
| h) | Provide a housing trajectory (which takes account of all sources of supply and builds<br>on the Local Plan's Appendix A: Housing trajectory) in an Excelformat  | An updated for the trajectory is<br>being readied for the end of<br>September 2022   |
| i) | With regard to provision for Gypsies and Travellers, provide further detail toshow<br>whether there is scope for intensification of existing sites to create more pitches and<br>to clarify what all available delivery mechanisms would consist of in order to provide<br>a supply of specific, deliverable sites. | See reply statement. An update is<br>required to previously undertaken<br>work. It is anticipated this will be<br>during the next 6 to 9 months.             |
| a) | Inspectors' request 6<br>How was the figure of 85 hectares of employment land reached and wherewould it<br>be accommodated? Please set this out in a topic paper on employment that<br>includes the employment land requirement and estimatedland supply relating to this   | See reply statement. It is<br>anticipated that the further work<br>may need to take 6 to 9 months<br>due to the need to recruit to<br>vacancies at LDC.      |
|    | Plan period (broken down by source of requirement/supply); whether the requirement and supply figures are grosshectares, or net; and a detailed breakdown of sites which will be allocated, with the expected type and mix of use classes.  |  |
| b) | Is the Council is intending to meet any employment needs from within thewider Greater Birmingham and Black Country Housing Market Area?   | See reply to statement   |
| a) | Inspectors' request 7<br>The Council should produce a topic paper on Green Belt which explains thesteps   | See reply to statement. Due to the need for LDC to undertake staff   |

|    | taken by the Council prior to making the decision to allocate sites currently within<br>the Green Belt for development, including how it has influenced decision making<br>around site selection for housing, as discussed above. This should include<br>reference to relevant parts of the evidence base. The topic paper should include<br>detail on how the Council considers it has addressed paragraphs 141 – 143 of the<br>Framework, with reference to supporting evidence. | recruitment, it is estimated this<br>further work will be undertaken<br>during the next 6 to 9 months.  |
|----|--|---|
| b) | Furthermore, as Burntwood is intended to contribute towards the delivery of the<br>Council's housing requirement and the settlement appears to be tightly bounded by<br>Green Belt, could the Council clarify if it intends the forthcoming Burntwood Area<br>Action Plan to include Green Belt release?   | See statement of reply  |
|    | Inspectors' request 8  | See statement of reply  |
| a) | Is the Local Plan supported by strategic transport modelling?  |   |
| b) | Reference is made at SD1-8a (Annex B) to the production of a Transport<br>Assessment with Staffordshire County Council and Highways England (nowNational<br>Highways). Has this been submitted to the Examination?   | See statement of reply. The<br>assessment modelling report will<br>be submitted to the Examination. It<br>is estimated that the mitigation<br>design work will be submitted<br>within 6 to 9 months given the<br>need for LDC and SCC staffing<br>resourcing available. |
| c) | What consideration has been given to supporting transport infrastructure for the strategic allocations, saved previously allocated housing sites, and employment provision within the district? What will the implications of the Local Plan's spatial strategy be for the strategic road network?   | See statement of reply  |
| d) | When is Staffordshire County Council's updated Integrated Transport Strategy for Lichfield expected? Have any more recent transport strategy documents been produced by Staffordshire County Council, National Highways, or any other relevant   | See statement of reply. A new<br>Integrated transport strategy is<br>being prepared by SCC but may<br>need further information to be  |

| organisations? If so, should they form part of the Local Plan's evidence base? Has<br>the Council provided relevant widerroad project work for the A5 and A38 to the<br>Examination?   | provided by LDC which will be<br>contingent on staff recruitment<br>within LDC. It is estimated that a<br>new Integrated transport strategy<br>could be provided by the end of<br>2022. |
|--|---|
| Inspectors' request 9  | See reply statement. It is estimated the work can be prepared during  |
| a) The Council should provide a Gantt chart showing the delivery of overall growth<br>over the plan period (including commitments, windfall etc). It should also show each<br>housing, employment and mixed-use allocation and the infrastructure necessary to<br>bring forward each site with timescales and phasing, so we are able to see when<br>items of infrastructure are required tounlock specific development. | the next 9 to 12 months.  |
| b) What evidence is there to confirm that any likely infrastructure requirementshave<br>been taken into account in assessing the effect of policies on the viability and<br>phasing of development?  | See reply statement   |
| c) Did the Local Plan and CIL Viability Assessment (September 2020) assess the<br>submitted Local Plan or an earlier version of it? Does the Council consider that the<br>Local Plan and CIL Viability Assessment is up to date?   | See reply statement - update<br>required this is estimated to be<br>possible in the next 6-9 months<br>subject to LDC recruitment to<br>posts.  |
| Inspectors request in conclusion<br>a) the Councilis requested to provide a timetable for the provision of all the requested<br>information.   | As set out in this document   |