Lichfield District



Local Plan Allocations

Gypsy and Traveller Sites Methodology Paper

December 2016

www.lichfielddc.gov.uk

Local Plan Allocations Methodology Paper: Gypsy and Traveller Sites

National planning policy guidance defines Gypsies and Travellers as the following:

'Persons of nomadic habit of life whatever their race or origin, including such persons who on grounds only of their own or their family's or dependants' educational or health needs or old age have ceased to travel temporarily, but excluding members of an organised group of travelling showpeople or circus people travelling together as such' - Planning Policy for Traveller Sites and Travellers (PPTS) DCLG 2015.

Paragraph 10 of the PPTS states that local planning authorities should do the following, in producing their Local Plan;

a) identify and update annually, a supply of specific deliverable sites sufficient to provide 5 years' worth of sites against their locally set targets; and

b) identify a supply of specific, developable sites, or broad locations for growth, for years 6 to 10 and, where possible, for years 11-15.

Calculating the Requirement

The District Council's Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment (GTAA) 2007, which informed the Local Plan Strategy, identifies a need for 14 residential pitches and 5 transit pitches within Lichfield District to 2026, Total Delivery within the plan period to date is 7 residential and 0 transit pitches which leaves a requirement for 7 residential and 5 transit pitches for the remainder of the plan period up to 2029. The Call for Sites has been open to submissions for Gypsy and Traveller (GT) Sites all year round since 2012. To date, no GT sites have been submitted since that time.

Given the lack of submissions, a pro-active approach has therefore been required in order to identify potential sites for allocation. Policy H3 of the adopted Local Plan Strategy states that the allocation of sites will be informed by the following criteria:

- the site is within or adjacent to Lichfield, Burntwood or a Key Rural Settlement or close to the A5 or A38 corridors;
- in the Key Rural Settlements, the proposal must be of an appropriate size so as not to put unacceptable strain on infrastructure;
- the site is large enough to provide for adequate on-site facilities for parking, storage, play and residential amenity as appropriate and dependent on the number of pitches; vehicular and pedestrian access to the site is safe and reasonably convenient;
- the site is located within Flood Zones 1 or 2;
- the site will be able to be landscaped and screened to provide privacy for occupiers and to maintain visual amenity within the landscape/townscape; and
- development of the site should protect the local amenity and environment and will have no significant detrimental impact to adjoining properties or neighbouring land by

virtue of noise and other disturbance caused by movement of vehicles to and from the site.

Process of Identifying Potential Sites and Methodology for Assessment

The identification and short listing of sites was carried out in the following stages:

- 1) Identifying data sources
- 2) Initial Filter of Sites
- 3) Detailed Site Assessment
- 4) Establish Final Schedule of Sites

Stage 1. Identifying Data Sources

For the purposes of this assessment the following sources of data were identified:

- Publicly owned land making full use of registers/ GIS records of under-used or vacant sites within ownership of Lichfield District Council (LDC) Staffordshire County Council (SCC), Highways England (HE), Network Rail (NR) and the Homes and Communities Agency (HCA),
- b) Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA)
- c) Local Authority intelligence
- d) Existing Gypsy and Traveller Sites
- e) Former application sites i.e. those with planning history related to Gypsies and Travellers.

Stage 2. Intial Filtering of Sites

Once data was sourced, an initial filtering process excluded sites with a non H3 compliant location (i.e not within or adjacent to Lichfield, Burntwood or Key Rural Settlements and not close to the A38/ A5 corridors). These were assessed through a database which references the H3 location criteria (see appendix A). Additional H3 site requirements were also set out in the database and were assessed at a later stage is they pass initial filtering.

This stage of the assessment <u>removed</u> sites if they were within either:

- Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI), or
- Special Areas of Conservation (SAC)

Sites within the Green Belt could be carried forward to the next stage provided there were no other constraints that would rule them out at initial filtering. Policy E of the PPTS 2015 states that Green Belt boundaries should be altered only in exceptional circumstances. If a local planning authority wishes to make an exceptional, limited alteration to the defined Green Belt boundary (which might be to accommodate a site inset within the Green Belt) to meet a specific, identified need for a traveller site... If land is removed from the Green Belt in this way, it should be specifically allocated in the development plan as a traveller site only. (Para 17).

Site requirements

There are no definitive guidelines on the size of individual pitches but the PPTS that the sites should be considered in context and in relation to the local infrastructure and population size and density to ensure they do not dominate local settled communities. <u>The DCLG Gypsy</u> and <u>Traveller Good Practice Guide 2008</u> states the following:

There is no one ideal size of site or number of pitches although experience of site managers and residents alike suggest that a maximum of 15 pitches is conducive to providing a comfortable environment which is easy to manage. However, smaller sites of 3-4 pitches can also be successful, particularly where designed for one extended family. These can be advantageous in making good use of small plots of land, whilst retaining the qualities described in this guidance and expected by families on modern sites. An example of a small scale site, in an urban environment, is featured at Annex B.3.

The DCLG 2008 guidance advises that pitches should allow space for a mobile home and touring caravan as well as amenity building, parking and vehicle turning room. Having regard to this guidance and recent examples from elsewhere¹ we used a guideline minium pitch size of 500-550sq m for residential sites and 300-350sqm for transit sites (which have a smaller pitch requirement). In light of the recommended maximum of 15 pitches per site, we considered potential sites of up to 1ha in area, unless other specific reasons indicate that a larger site should be included in the filtering process. For example if a site with an area greater than 1 Ha was deemed to have potential then it could be carried forward in the process with a view to a smaller section being allocated (should it pass assessment).

Guidance stipulates that access roads and the site design itself should be capable of providing sufficient space for the manoeuvrability of average size trailers of up to 15 metres in length, with capacity for larger mobile homes on a limited number of pitches where accessibility can be properly addressed.

Sites that were identified as being in conflict with national or local policy or which have fundamental constraints that cannot be mitigated, were not taken forward to the next stage of the assessment.

a) Publicly Owned Land

Publicly owned sites were scoped out using GIS layers and filtered against the selection criteria. Land under the ownership of Lichfield District Council, Staffordshire County Council, The Homes and Communities Agencies (HCA), Highways England and Network Rail were taken into consideration during the process.

b) <u>Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) and Urban Capacity</u> <u>Assessment</u>

¹ The Nuneaton and Bedworth Gypsies Travellers and Travelling Showpeople Site Allocations Development Plan Document (Preferred Options) 2015 uses these pitch requirements with regard to the DCLG Guidance and local best practice.

Whilst, it is acknowledged that no new sites have been submitted to the SHLAA for GT uses, it was nevertheless necessary to scrutinise the database in order to ascertain whether any potential options may arise (and approach landowners should sites pass selection). The database, which contains over 1000 entries was filtered by <u>removing</u> the following entries:

- Site area less than 500 sqm or over 1Ha (see pitch requirements)
- Location not in conformity with Policy H3
- Residential completions/ residential development under construction
- Live/extant or lapsed residential planning permissions
- Sites which make up part of the residential urban capacity (as per Urban Capacity Assessment (UCA 2016). Sites which did not pass the UCA will still be considered for GT uses
- Sites indicated as not available following the UCA 2016
- Rural sites where development would result in the loss of community facilities identified in the RSSS (and would therefore be in conflict with policy Rural 1)
- Sites which were unavailable due to the existing use still being operational
- Individual house plots which form part of a residential curtilage
- current amenity space or plots of land enclosed by residential properties on all sides (with regard to urban sites/existing residential areas)

c) Local authority intelligence

Discussions were carried out with colleagues in order to ascertain whether any other potential sites could be identified within the district. This focussed primarily on the Development Management, Planning Enforcement, Environmental Health and Housing Teams. Any sites identified in this way were added to the assessment database and assessed accordingly.

d) Existing Gypsy and Traveller Sites

The two existing Gypsy & Traveller sites are set out in the table below. Any sites which advance to detailed site assessment may have potential for intensification within the site boundary.

Location	No of pitches approved	Ref	Notes
Land East of "Oakfield" Bonehill Rd, Mile Oak, B78 3PS	1	10/00497/COU	Green Belt. Permission to provide 1 no. residential family gypsy pitches with utility/ dayroom and retention of existing storage shed, to facilitate a gypsy lifestyle.
The Poplars Coleshill Road Fazeley Tamworth Staffordshire B78 3SA	8*	07/00684/FUL (decided June 2010) and 04/01233/CLE	Green Belt. Increase number of caravan pitches from 2 to 8 and associated facilities. *Certificate of lawfulness for 2 pitches previously granted in 2005 meaning only 6 were delivered in this plan period

e) <u>Sites with a Gypsy and Traveller planning history</u>

It was considered that the identification of potential new sites should include those which have been subject to planning applications and subsequently refused. This was based on a search of the uniform planning database. In some cases land is owned by or connected to Gypsy and Traveller families and therefore may be considered available. Any sites identified in this way were assessed accordingly.

The Initial filtering databases (from all data sources) can be found in the appendices. At the start of the process, there were 95 sites under consideration (Appendix A) comprising entries from all data sources. These were filtered down to a final 21, which were taken forward to the detailed sites assessment stage (Appendix B).

Stage 3. Detailed Sites Assessment

At this stage the 21 remaining sites were then put through the SA process. This list of sites comprises the following:

- SHLAA/ Survey sites deemed policy compliant (at this stage landowner willingness unknown).
- Existing Gypsy and Traveller sites.
- Refused Gypsy and Traveller planning application sites

In addition to sites going through the SA process, an assessment against the criteria set out below was undertaken in order to ensure compliance with policy H3. This enables 'reasonable alternatives' to be considered through the SA process.

A detailed GIS based site-specific assessment was undertaken and site visits were carried out. The appraisal is divided into the following areas and an assessment summary is provided for each site:-

Sustainability of the site

All sites which reached this stage were subject to the Sustainability Appraisal (SA). It should be noted that whilst the methodology was consistent with residential allocations, the conclusions drawn from the SA may differ due to the unique requirements of Gypsy and Traveller sites, particularly with regard to locational sustainability. For instance, sites which may be deemed too isolated for residential development may be considered appropriate for Gypsy and Traveller uses, particularly those with good connection to the A5/A38 transport corridors (as specified in Policy H3).

Environmental impact - considering the following

- Ecological impact/ environmental designations
- impact on the openness of the Green Belt,
- risk from flooding,
- loss of best and most versatile agricultural land (as per NPPF),
- whether the site is greenfield or brownfield
- risk of contaminated land,

Social impact - considering whether the site would have a significant detrimental impact to adjoining properties or neighbouring land by virtue of noise or disturbance.

Site Context and Character -

- Whether topographical characteristics of the site may present an obstacle to development,
- whether the site is in close proximity to existing infrastructure and whether or not there would be potential adverse impact from adjoining land uses
- whether there were utilities on site or if they could feasibly be provided.

Continuity and Enclosure – whether development of the site would provide continuity and enclosure in respect to adjacent land uses.

Accessibility – considering the suitability of vehicular access to the site, location of the site with regard to public transport routes and accessibility by foot or cycle to a range of community facilities.

Stage 4. Establishing a Final Schedule of Sites

Following the SA and detailed site assessments, three sites were deemed suitable for allocation: GT13, GT14 and GT 21.

The three landowners were contacted and of these only one was willing to have their site allocated. This site, GT 21 (1 pitch) is therefore recommended as a Gypsy and Traveller allocation. It is acknowledged that this does not meet the pitch requirement for the Local Plan period or the five year supply requirement. However, following a proactive approach, there are no other identified options in terms of potential Gypsy and Traveller allocation sites at present.

The tables below summarise the 21 site assessments which also refer to information set in appendix B and the SA. (for maps please see appendix C).



Site GT 2 Dunmore	e Hay Lane, Fradley (Site B) (SHLAA ref: 377)
Pond Pond Dutage Fr El Sub Sta Fradl	
Site Context	Adjacent to GT1. Location was considered potentially H3 compliant as site lies adjacent to a Key Rural Settlement and the A38. Larger than 1 Ha but taken forward alongside GT1 with a view to selecting a smaller section if it passed the assessment.
Constraints	As with GT1, the SA has highlighted significant sustainability issues. The associated mitigation is considered too extensive and not achievable as a GT site. Furthermore, following the UCA it has transpired that the site is now part of the urban capacity and therefore not achievable as a GT site.
Recommendation	Remove from assessment.









Site GT7 Croxall Ro	oad, Spellow Field (SHLAA ref: 842)
GROXALL ROAD	sional Box
Station Yard v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v	
Site Context	Site was considered to have potential for transit pitches following GIS search of sites within relative proximity to the A38 corridor.
Constraints	Likely to have a significant visual impact on the approach to the National Memorial Arboretum (NMA) from Alrewas and therefore potential conflict with CP1 regarding the setting of tourist assets and with H3 (which requires allocations to have regard to other Local Plan policies). The cumulative impacts of the site for GT uses are considered too great to mitigate against.
Recommendation	Remove from assessment.





Site GT10 Land sou	uth of Watling St (A5) near Wall
Enigen Bal	
Site Context	Former M6 toll construction compound, which has since been partially restored to agricultural land with some remaining hardstanding. Survey site selected due to its close proximity to the A5. Currently has good access.
Constraints	In the Green Belt. GB location. Site highly visible from A5 and its development would impact on the openness of GB. This section of GB not assessed by the Green Belt Review Supplementary Report 2013, however as a point of reference, the site context is similar to ref GT17 (also GB and in close proximity to both the M6 Toll and the A5) which was subject to planning appeal and Secretary of State Call in. Given that the site lies between these two major roads (unlike GT17 which lies south of both roads) the acoustic fence and other measures may have to be even more extensive than that required by GT 17 (given it would be required on north and south sides. In view of the Appeal/ Sec of State decision taken against site GT17 at planning application stage, it is considered that the mitigation required to make this site acceptable for GT uses may not outweigh the harm to the Green Belt.
Recommendation	Remove from assessment.





Site GT13 Network	c Rail Land off Watery Lane, nr Lichfield
Site Context	Survey site selected following GIS search due to its proximity to Lichfield. Considered to be H3 compliant and has appropriate access capable of accommodating up to 6 pitches
Constraints	Noise from West Coast Mainline would need mitigating. Site is relatively exposed to the east and would need screening. Utilities may need further work to enable connection to mains supply. Otherwise no major constraints.
Recommendation	Suitable for allocation.
Land owner Contacted	Yes.
Available for GT uses	No.

Site GT 14 Land off Fradley	Lane (adjacent to A38) Fradley
ain	Freques Labor
Site Context	Survey site chosen due to its proximity to the A38. Existing access is suitable and can be improved. Site is capable of accommodating up to 15 pitches and is considered to be H3 policy compliant. One neighbouring property on Fradley Lane to consider in terms of amenity.
Constraints	No constraints identified other than amenity of neighbouring residential property. Utilities may need further work to enable connection to mains supply.
Recommendation	Suitable for allocation.
Land owner Contacted	Yes.
Available for GT uses	No.















Appendix A

Sites considered at Initial Filter Stage

			SHLAA ID					Can be taken
		Location H3	(if	Suitable		Within		forward for further
Location	SiteAreaHa	compliant? *	relevant)	(SHLAA)	Settlement	Settlement	Other relevant info	consideration ***
		Inside town centre						
Land to south of Bridge Cross Road, Burntwood	0.42	boundary	3	yes	Burntwood	yes	Land has been redeveloped	no, following UCA
							Likely to be used by NHS and	
Land to South of Lichfield Road, Burntwood	0.53	part	5	yes	Burntwood	yes	not redeveloped in 0-5	no, following UCA
Land Adjacent to Aldridge Road Little Aston	0.85	no	14	yes	Little Aston	Part	Green Belt	N/A
Main Road, Harlaston	0.02	no	26	no	Harlaston	No		N/A
Blake Street, Land Off, Little Aston	0.83	no	29	yes	Other Rural	No		N/A
							SHLAA considers not	
The Shrubbery, Elford	0.47	no	35	yes	Other Rural	yes	developable	N/A
							not for sale but has been	
Mease Lane, Haunton	0.53	no	41	no	Other Rural	No	promoted, CA	N/A
Land adj fr Wigginton Village Hall, Main Road	0.43	no	45	no	Wigginton	Part	СА	N/A
							City centre/ Retail Area/	
							Conservation Area. 2016	
		within city centre					application to be determined	
21-23 Tamworth Street	0.16	retail area	54	yes	Lichfield	yes	-`	no, following UCA
		city centre centre					Part of site has comeforwad	
Sandford Street 29, Lichfield		boundary	59	yes	Lichfield	yes	as part of Walton dev	no, following UCA
							Still in business use unlikely	
Depot, Queen Street, Lichfield	0.07	yes		yes	Lichfield	yes	to come forward	no, following UCA
The Homestead, Haunton Road, Harlaston	0.25	no	68	no	Harlaston	Part	Listed Bdg, CA	N/A
Blithbury Road, Works (North of Colton Milll Farm)	0.58	no	80	no	Other Rural	No		N/A
							SHLAA considers not	
Webbs Farm, South of, Elford	2.57	no	86	yes	Other Rural	yes	developable	no, following UCA
							Still in active use. Refused	
							permission for 103	
Fazeley Saw Mill/ Goulds Timber Yard, Fazeley	0.62	yes		yes	Fazeley	yes	apartments	no, following UCA
High Street 51-55, Chasetown	0.08	yes	98	yes	Burntwood	yes		no, following UCA
Land at St Josephs Church and Presbytery, Chasetown	0.08	yes		yes	Burntwood	yes	Redeveloped for Offices -	no, following UCA
Cloisters Walk, Whittington	0.28	yes	101	yes	Whittington	yes		no, following UCA
							Cons Area. in use as PH no	
Post Office Farm Syerscote Lane, Wigginton	0.65	no	106	no	Wigginton	Part	plan to come forward	N/A
	0.50				1.1.1.1.1.1		SHLAA considers not	
Meadowbrook Road, Lichfield, Staffordshire	0.53	yes	110	yes	Lichfield	yes	developable	no, following UCA

			SHLAA ID					Can be taken
		Location H3	(if	Suitable		Within		forward for further
Location	SiteAreaHa	compliant? *	relevant)	(SHLAA)	Settlement	Settlement	Other relevant info	consideration ***
				,- ,			SHLAA considers not	
London Road, Land off, Lichfield	0.64	yes	111	yes	Lichfield	yes	developable	no, following UCA
		inside city centre					Cons Area. in use as PH no	
Land at 23/25 Greenhill, Lichfield	0.10	boundary	113	yes	Lichfield	yes	plan to come forward	no, following UCA
Loughton Court Care Home, Trent Valley Road		yes	114	yes	Lichfield	yes	PP for 3 dwellings	no, following UCA
Petrol Station, Fazeley	0.13	yes	116	i yes	Fazeley	yes	recently PA for filling station	no, following UCA
					Armitage with		SHLAA considers not	
Old Road, Armitage	0.24	yes	122	yes	Handsacre	yes	developable	no, following UCA
Rugeley Road 19, Rear of, Chase Terrace	0.08		129	yes	Burntwood	yes		no, following UCA
					Upper			
Lower Way, North of, Upper Longdon	1.00	no	134	no	Longdon	Part		N/A
Wade Street, Hill Ridware	0.20	no	136	i no	Hill Ridware	Part		N/A
							SHLAA considers not	
Land at Trent Valley Rd, Lichfield	0.75	yes	142	yes	Lichfield	yes	developable	no, following UCA
							Original Urban Capacity	
							Assessment - no details -	
High Street 100-126, Burntwood	0.46	yes	146	yes	Burntwood	yes	remove from capacity	no, following UCA
							recent planning history is for	
Garage Site, Swan Island, Burntwood	0.16	yes	147	' yes	Burntwood	yes	current use,	no, following UCA
							SHLAA considers not	
Dimbles Hill, Lichfield	0.37	yes	148	yes	Lichfield	yes	developable	no, following UCA
							Original Urban Capacity	
							Assessment - no details -	
Squash Club, Spinney Lane, Burntwood	0.57	yes	151	. yes	Burntwood	yes	remove from capacity	no, following UCA
							2005 outline expired no	
Hill Street, 1-3	0.16	yes	167	' yes	Burntwood	yes	recent planning history	no, following UCA
							Permission is for hotel	
							associated appartments not	
Land at Netherstowe Lane.		yes	361	yes	Lichfield	yes	urban capacity	no, following UCA
					Upper			
Woodholme, Land to th rear, Upper Longdon	0.28	no	374		Longdon	Part	AONB	N/A
Dumore Hay Lane, Fradley (Site A)	0.50	yes	376	no	Fradley	No		yes
							Large site- only a portion	
Dumore Hay Lane, Fradley (Site B)	2.43 **	yes	377	no	Fradley	No	would be required	yes
			_				in use as car garage no plans	
Land at Queen St Lichfield		yes	413	yes	Lichfield	yes	to change	no, following UCA

		Location H3	SHLAA ID (if	Suitable		Within		Can be taken forward for further
Location	SiteAreaHa	compliant? *	relevant)	(SHLAA)	Settlement	Settlement	Other relevant info	consideration ***
							Still in active use. Residential	
PH, Stonnall		no	423	yes	Other Rural	yes	PP expired	no, following UCA
							Enclosed by residential	
							properties and only minor	
Station Road, Land off, Hammerwich	0.18	no	433	-	Other Rural	No	road access from A5	N/A
Church Road & Church Lane, Stonnall	0.82	no	475	-	Stonnall	No		N/A
Land East of Stowe Street, Lichfield	0.13	yes	487	yes	Lichfield	yes	Site sold	no, following UCA
							Site is part of larger cross	
							boundary site with Cannock.	
							Maybe too close to	
Land adj Rugeley Road, South of (Part CC District)	0.49	no	487	yes	East Rugeley	No	residential	N/A
Shenstone Employment Area		yes	500	yes	Shenstone	yes	Currently employment land	no, following UCA
							SHLAA considers not	
Shires Industrial Estate	1.48	yes	508	yes	Lichfield	yes	developable	no, following UCA
							SHLAA considers not	
Cedar Road Garage Court	0.17	yes	509	yes	Burntwood	yes	developable	no, following UCA
							SHLAA considers not	
Russett Avenue Garage Court	0.10	yes	520	yes	Burntwood	yes	developable	no, following UCA
							SHLAA considers not	
Grange Road Garage Court	0.30	yes	537	yes	Burntwood	yes	developable	no, following UCA
							Green Belt. Residential	
Land West of Church Road, Stonnall	0.09	no	542		Other Rural	No	Property either side	N/A
Hardwick Road, 36/Little Aston Park Road	0.47	no	574	yes	Other Rural	yes		N/A
Cherry Orchard, Lichfield Day Services	-	yes	636	yes	Lichfield	yes	Redveloped as a school	no, following UCA
Alrewas Road, Land north, Kings Bromley	0.40	yes	641		Kings Bromley	No		yes
land adjacent to Huddlesford Grange Farm	0.17	yes	667	no	Other Rural	No	-	yes
Land at Hungry Lane, Weeford (also 2009 PA refusal GT							GB- owner pursuing	
site)	0.65	yes	669		Other Rural	No	residential application	no, following UCA
Land west of Dog Lane, Weeford	0.63	no	677	no	Other Rural	No	GB	N/A
Alrewas Road, land rear 107, Kings Bromley	0.88	yes	686		Kings Bromley		-	yes
Haunton Road, Yew Tree House	0.10	no	705	-	Harlaston	No	-	N/A
Forge Lane, Forge Cottage, Little Aston	0.72	no	706	no	Other Rural	No	-	N/A
Land at King Edward VI School, Upper St John Street		yes	739	yes	Lichfield	yes		no, following UCA
							SHLAA considers not	
Main Street, Storage Site	0.72	yes	753	yes	Alrewas	yes	developable	no, following UCA

			SHLAA ID					Can be taken
		Location H3	(if	Suitable		Within		forward for further
Location	SiteAreaHa	compliant? *	-	(SHLAA)	Settlement	Settlement	Other relevant info	consideration ***
				(0.1.2.0.1)			Bromford Housing (fully	
Bloomfield Crescent Garage Court	0.13	yes	755	yes	Lichfield	yes	enclosed)	no, following UCA
							Bromford Housing (fully	U
Bloomfield Crescent Garage Court 2	0.16	yes	756	yes	Lichfield	yes	enclosed)	no, following UCA
	0.40						Planning permision	
High Street, 7-9	0.13	yes	763	yes	Burntwood	yes	12/00063/FULM- completed	no, following UCA
							Site to stay in current Car	C 11
Swan Road Car Park	0.16	yes	766	yes	Lichfield	yes	Park use	no, following UCA
							Still in active use. SHLAA	
Queens Drive Industrial Estate	1.50	yes	769	ves	Burntwood	yes	considers not developable	no, following UCA
	1.00	700		,	Buillioou	,	Still in active use, not	
							proposed for change in the	
Former Rocklands School	0.70	yes	776	yes	Lichfield	yes	UCA	no, following UCA
		700		,	Drayton	,		
Salts Lane, land off, Drayton Bassett	0.87	no	826	no	Bassett	No		N/A
Croxall Road, Spellow Field	0.62	yes	842		Other Rural	No		yes
Nursery Lane, land off, Hopwas	0.57	no	863		Hopwas	No		N/A
Main Road, Haunton, land off	0.69	no	866		Other Rural	No		N/A
Main Road, Haunton, land off (2)	0.63	no	867	no	Other Rural	No		N/A
Croxall Road, 1, land adjacent to	0.04	yes	884	no	Other Rural	No		yes
Wishing Well Garage	0.40	no	885	no	Other Rural	No		N/A
Weeford House Farm	0.40	no	900	no	Other Rural	No		N/A
Fisherwick Road, land adj 76	0.30	no	947	yes	Whittington	No		N/A
Wade Lane, land south of	0.50	no	954	no	Hill Ridware	No		N/A
High Street, Rear of 31, Colton	0.40	no	960	no	Colton	No		N/A
Syerscote Lane, Corner of Main Street, Haunton	0.48	no	961	no	Other Rural	No		N/A
					Upper			
Stocking Lane, Land south of , Upper Longdon	0.20	no	963	no	Longdon	No		N/A
Lond at Drownhills C of Mating St AF	0.25				Other Durrel	No	GB, bounded by A5, disused	
Land at Brownhills S of Watling St A5	0.35	yes	N/A Survey	'NA	Other Rural	No	railway & canal. SAC	yes
Former MC toll commenced Couth of Miching Char					Other Duras		GB, highly visible from A5-	
Former M6 toll compound, South of Watling St A5	4.0 (req part only)	yes	N/A Survey	NA	Other Rural	No	openness of GB	yes
							no direct access of A38, Access off residential	
Lauby and land off A28 N basis d Fradies	0.15	Was			Othor Dural	No		Voc
Layby and land off A38 N bound Fradley	0.15	yes	N/A Survey	NA	Other Rural	No	Jackman Rd	yes

			SHLAA ID					Can be taken
		Location H3	(if	Suitable		Within		forward for further
Location	SiteAreaHa	compliant? *	relevant)	(SHLAA)	Settlement	Settlement	Other relevant info	consideration ***
							GB, relatively isolated, Loss	
							of open space, may have to	
Caulter Lang area Grand an Duratural					Oth an Dunal	N -	pay back woodland grant scheme	
Coulter Lane open Space land, nr Burntwood		no	N/A Survey	NA	Other Rural	No	GB, loss of common land and	yes
Muckley Corner triangle land S. of A5 westbound	0.80	part	N/A Survey	ΝΑ	Other Rural	No	open space	VAS
Muckley corrier triangle land 3. of A5 westbound	0.80	part	N/A Survey	INA		NO	GB, close to residential	yes
Layby and land off A51 northbound Longdon	1.00	no	N/A Survey	ΝΑ	Other Rural	No	property Lysways Lodge	ves
	1.00	110	N/A Survey	INA		NO	property Lysways Louge	yes
Network Rail Site Watery Lane	0.18	yes	N/A Survey	ΝΔ	Other Rural	No	ownership isssues	yes
	0.10	yes	Ny/Courvey		Other Rara	110		yes
Land off Fradley Lane adj A38	0.70	yes	N/A Survey	NA	Other Rural	No	ownership isssues	yes
							Was refused in 1994.	
							Potential highways concerns.	
							Landowner intentions not	
Land off Brookhay Lane (1994 PA refusal)	0.60	yes	N/A Survey	NA	Other Rural	No	known	yes
							G Belt, isolated. Planning	
							refusal. Not fully compliant	
							with H3 as set out in policy	
Land at Shaw Lane, Hanch (2016 PA refusal)	0.46	no	N/A Survey	NA	Other Rural	No	response.	yes
							GB: visible from A5/ M6T-	
							openness of GB, Sound	
							mitigation. Refusal updheld	
Land adj M6 Toll Hammerwich (2012 PA/appeal/SOS)	0.50	yes	N/A Survey	NA	Other Rural	No	by SoS	yes
							Already high density (9	
"The Poplars", Coleshill Rd, Fazeley (existing site)	0.18	yes	N/A Survey	NA	Other Rural	No	pitches)	yes
							site owners intentions not	
Land at Bonehill Road (existing site)	0.10	yes	N/A Survey	NA	Other Rural	No	known	yes
							Poor road connections to	
Land adjacent to "Leasoe" Brookay Lane	0.20	part	N/A Survey	NA	Other Rural	No	A38	yes
	0.45						GB, close to M6Toll. Loss of	
Land off White Horse Road, Brownhills (non SSSI section)	0.45	yes	N/A Survey	NA	Other Rural	No	Open Space. Adj to SSSI	yes
* this refers only to geographical location of sites. i.e.								
whether they are within or adjacent to Lichfield or								
Burntwood or a Key Rural settlement ; or if they are								
close to the A38/ A5. It is not a general test of								
compliance with all aspects of H3.								

		Location H3	SHLAA ID (if	Suitable		Within		Can be taken forward for further
Location	SiteAreaHa	compliant? *	relevant)	(SHLAA)	Settlement	Settlement	Other relevant info	consideration ***
** larger site kept within the process due to its								
potential for subdivision leaving part as a travller site								
close to the A38								
*** UCA = Urban Capacity Assessment								

Sites considered at Detailed Assessment Stage

							Access 3 m	room for	Utilities on	neighbouring	Other Notable
Site no.	Location	Source	SHLAA Id	SiteAreaHa	Available (GT uses	Vehicular access	wide	turning	site	properties	constraints
GT1	Dumore Hay Lane, Fradley (Site A)	SHLAA	376	0.50	not known	yes (agricultural)	yes	yes	not known	yes from south	
		5112/01	570	0.50		yes (ugriculturul)	yes	yes		yes nom south	Large site- only a
	Dumore Hay Lane,										portion would be
GT2	Fradley (Site B)	SHLAA	377	2.43 (req part only)	not known	yes (agricultural)	yes	yes	not known	yes from south	required
											Site is part of larger
											cross boundary site
	Rugeley Road, South										with Cannock. Maybe too close to
GT3	• •	Survey	27	0.49	not known	yes	yes	not known	not known	yes	residential
		Juivey	27	0.+5		yes	yes			yes	
	Alrewas Road, Land										infill site- amenity
GT4	north, Kings Bromley	SHLAA	641	0.40	not known	yes	yes	yes	not known	yes all sides	issues
	land adjacent to										
	Huddlesford Grange										On farm site -
GT5	Farm	SHLAA	667	0.17	not known	yes	yes	yes	not known	yes	residential curtilage?
	Alrewas Road, land										
CT6	rear 107, Kings Bromley	SHLAA	686	0.88	not known	20	20	WOS	not known	yes from south	not directly off A38. Amenity issues
GT6	Croxall Road,	JILAA	080	0.00		no	no	yes		yes non south	Amenity issues
GT7	Spellow Field	SHLAA	842	0.62	not known	yes	yes	yes	not known	Yes NMA	NMA approach
						ľ	1	ŕ			NMA approach.
	Croxall Road, 1, land										Neighbouring
GT8	adjacent to	SHLAA	884	0.04	not known	yes	yes	yes	not known	Yes NMA	amenity
	Land at Brownhills S										GB,bounded by A5, disused railway &
GT9		Survey	N/A	0.35	not known	Yes	yes	yes	not known	yes	canal. SAC
019	Former M6 toll	Jurvey		0.00			yes	yes		yes	GB,highly visible
	compound, South of										from A5- openness
GT10	Watling St A5	Survey	N/A	4.0 (req part only)	not known	yes	yes	yes	not known	yes	of GB
											no direct access of
											A38, Access off
CT14	Layby and land off	6		0.45		N				and the thread	residential Jackman
GT11	A38 N bound Fradley	Survey	N/A	0.15	not known	Yes	yes	not known	not known	yes, limited	Rd
	Wall Butts (Muckley										
	Corner) triangle land										GB, loss of common
GT12	S. off A5 westbound		N/A	0.80	not known	yes	yes	yes	no	yes limited	land and open space
	Network Rail Site										
GT13		Survey	N/A	0.18	not known	yes	yes	yes	not known	no	
	Land off Fradley										
GT14	Lane adj A38	Survey	N/A	0.70	not known	yes	yes	yes	not known	yes -one	

GT15	Land off Brookhay Lane (1994 PA refusal ref L940256)	Refused PA	N/A	0.60	not known	yes	yes	yes	no	no	Was refused in 1994. Potential highways concern (RO)Landowner intentions not known GB, isolated.
GT16	Land at Shaw Lane, Hanch (PA refusal) ref 15/00722/COU	Refused PA	N/A	0.46	not known	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	Location not compliant with H3 as set out in policy response.
GT17	Land adj M6 Toll Hammerwich (2012 PA/appeal/SoS refusal) 12/00165/FUL	Refused PA	N/A	0.50	not known	yes	yes	yes	твс	no	GB: visible from A5/ M6T- openness of GB, Sound mitigation. Refusal updheld by SoS
GT18	Land adj "Broom Leasoe House" Brookhay Lane PA refused	Refused PA		0.20	not known	Yes		no		yes	Poor road connections to A38
GT19	Land off White Horse Road, Brownhills (non SSSI section)		N/A	0.45	not known	yes	not known	yes	no	limited	GB, close to M6Toll. Loss of Open Space
GT20		existing site	N/A	0.18	not known	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	Lack of space to accommodate more pitches
GT 21	Land at Bonehill Road 10/00497/COU	existing site	N/A	0.10	not known	Yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	